

**Other persons Representations**

1. [REDACTED]

Dear Cambridge City Council Licensing Department / Vanessa Church

Re. Notices in the doorway of the King Street Brewhouse PH, 1 King Street Cambridge, inviting responses to licence variation proposals - which include extending opening hours and times from which alcohol can be served, indoors and out, and to start at 8 A.M.....

On the King Street Brewhouse website, the current hours of operation are as per this screenshot:

## Hours

---

King Street Brewhouse ^

<b>Saturday</b>	<b>12 pm–12 am</b>
Sunday	12–10 pm
Monday	12–11 pm
Tuesday	12–11 pm
Wednesday	12–11 pm
Thursday	12–11 pm
Friday	12 pm–12 am

[Suggest new hours](#)

---

Kitchen ^

<b>Saturday</b>	<b>10 am–9:45 pm</b>
Sunday	11 am–7:45 pm

---

### Appendix 3 – “Other persons” Representations

re. The extended hours application - Quite why a public house would want to be open and allowed to sell alcohol indoors and out from so early in the day - with permission to start doing so 4 hours earlier, at 8 A.M - I find difficult to understand..... These extended hours (including the later extensions too) would be setting a very unwelcome precedent, in an area where other licence applications have recently either been refused outright, or premises have had alcohol sales restricted to start nearer midday. \*\*These restrictions are for very good reasons, and came about after Police involvement - as we already get so many problems in this area from alcohol and drug abuse, street drinking, and antisocial behavior - plus unruly people hanging around the places along King Street that sell alcohol (often waiting for them to open in a morning too) and also pestering customers (as well as leaving a lot of litter). Businesses in the area have been working hard, with the Police, to try and deal with these problems - but some of these proposals by the Brewhouse would, I feel, be very counterproductive.

\* I feel that if allowed to go ahead, as per the application, these extended hours proposals could lead to quite a few problems for the PH, and their customers too.

\*\* It is also a densely populated residential area, with 46 flats at the adjoining Malcolm Place, and two of us actually sharing a wall with the PH.

\*\* Noise from customers in the outdoor seating area at the back of the PH can carry throughout Malcolm Place, and any increase in the permitted hours of use of that area would be very unwelcome. This proximity to people's homes needs to be taken into consideration, and residents should not have to keep closing their windows and patio doors, especially in the warmer weather...

\* As I share a wall with the PH, and their large screen TV is actually positioned on that wall, I am also concerned about them possibly being given a 'carte blanche' to show films in there, any day, and from 10 A.M. until late. Would there be volume restrictions in place? (I do use earplugs when necessary, even during the day, and also use distracting music plus a 'white noise' CD - it would be nice not to have to do that so often though. Anyone living here would have a problem with these issues. N.B. People living nearby do already make a lot of allowances, but they also need to be shown some consideration too.

## Appendix 3 – “Other persons” Representations

\* Setting-up noise / furniture dragging etc (especially early and late, and both indoors and out) can also be a problem, though the proprietors were helpful when asked to try and deal with this a few months ago, asking staff to take more care, which was appreciated (as was being sent an email and informed in advance about their November 2025 refurb.)


\* Finally I would like to add that I only realised there were public notices in the PH doorway when a neighbour told me. Originally it was just a single notice about the main licence variation proposals - printed on A4 dark turquoise paper, and quite difficult to read - I suspect it will have been overlooked / missed by many, it looks more like it would be information for an event at the PH.

Further A4 notices then appeared a day or two later about renewing the Pavement Licence (and also extending that to start at 8 A.M... using that area at all, plus serving alcohol outside from so early in a morning, is something else I do not support at all - as per my email sent last week, 7th April) . These Pavement Licence notices were at least printed on white paper, making the details much more legible.

(I never did find any of the more detailed application information on the council website.. I took photos of both notices so that I could read through them again later.)

I very much hope that the issues raised here will please be given serious consideration during the decision-making process, and hopefully some sort of compromises reached. Many thanks, Yours sincerely,

2.

 I am raising an objection to the proposal that the King Street Brewhouse be permitted to extend the hours in which it can sell alcohol for the following reasons:1.The prevention of crime and disorder2.Public safety3.The prevention of public nuisanceKing Street is a heavily residential area, and balancing the needs of the street’s proprietors and residents is a delicate one. This is particularly true in relation to the King Street Brewery with its proximity to the properties in Malcolm Place, the student accommodation on the opposite side of King Street, and across those across Malcolm Street.The availability of alcohol from 8:00 in the morning runs the risk of encouraging earlier and prolonged drinking throughout the day. This would be a particular risk on days when the pub is showing big sporting fixtures on its TVs. This may lead to an increase in alcohol-related issues, including public nuisance, anti-social behaviour, and disturbance to local residents - particularly during morning hours when the area is typically quieter.I would also like to object to the extension of alcohol sales on Friday and Saturday nights for

### Appendix 3 – “Other persons” Representations

similar reasons. If the last drinks are served at midnight, then the customers are unlikely to leave before 12:30 – 1am – a time when most of the neighbouring residents will be firmly asleep and easily disturbed by drunk customers. Allowing these extended hours for alcohol sales risks changing the character of the locality by normalising extended drinking, which could have a cumulative impact on noise levels, public safety, and the general wellbeing of the community. Additionally, this application also runs counter to the City Council’s: •general policy of restricting the number of outlets for the consumption of alcohol within the Council’s area of control. •own Cumulative Impact Assessment within the City Centre, relating to outlets serving alcoholic beverages. •prevention of nuisance to the general public on the part of the City Council and the local amenity society in terms of direct noise disturbance to residents of the residential blocks around the application premises.